DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY



BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> JET Docket No. NR3292-14 25 Nov 14



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of 10 USC 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 25 November 2014. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by CNPC memo 1780 PERS-312 of 12 Sep 14, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In making this determination, the Board concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. The Board found that Block 3 of your DD Form 4 (Enlistment/Reenlistment Document Armed Forces of the United States) and Block 4 of the DD Form 1966 (Record of Military Processing) both reflect that your Home of Record (HOR) is Manchester, CT. The Board agreed with the advisory opinion and regulations that "Only if a break in service exceeding one full day occurred may the member change the HOR." The Board further found that it was not the recruiter's responsibility to know the benefits of every state and inform potential recruits of those benefits.

Under these circumstances, the Board found that no relief is warranted. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new evidence within one year from the date of the Board's decision. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board prior to making its decision in this case. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely

ROBERT J. O'NEILL

Executive Director

Enclosure: CNPC memo 1780 PERS-312 of 12 Sep 14